

ADDENDUM ONE

Date: June 13, 2014

To: All Bidders

From: Timothy J. Texel, Executive Director and General Counsel
Nebraska Power Review Board

RE: Questions and Answers for Request for Proposal Number RFP NPRB-1115
to be opened July 1, 2014.

Following are the questions submitted and answers provided for the above mentioned Request for Proposal. The questions and answers are to be considered as part of the Request for Proposal.

Questions	Answers
<p>1. I have been approached by [an entity] to submit a joint proposal with them. I am concerned about [a potential] conflict of interest since a [NPRB member is associated with the entity].</p>	<p>The NPRB Board member's association with a potential bidder would not prevent any person or entity from submitting a bid. If any NPRB member is directly associated with a person or entity that submits a bid for RFP NPRB-1115, the NPRB member would need to file a Potential Conflict of Interest Statement (NADC Form C-2) with the Nebraska Accountability and Disclosure Commission, and would need to recuse himself from any further involvement in the selection of the contractor hired under RFP NPRB-1115.</p>
<p>2. Sections III.F.1 and III.F.2 (Page 11). Insurance is required for the life of this contract. What time period is considered the life of this contract?</p>	<p>The life of the contract will be from the contractor's start date to the date upon which the NPRB notifies the contractor in writing that it has accepted the final report, or December 15, 2014, whichever is later, or as may be extended by a revision to the agreement.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>3. Section III.F.3 (Page11). The insurance coverage amounts requested far exceed the maximum value of the contract which is \$185,000. Would reduced limits of coverage be acceptable?</p>	<p>Although the coverage amounts set out in section III.F.3. exceed the amount of the contract, they are not intended to function as a surety bond or other guarantee of performance. The insurance coverage amounts pertain to worker’s compensation, general liability, auto insurance, and umbrella policies. These policies are intended to insulate the State from potential liability and claims against the State based on the contractor’s actions while working on the Nebraska Renewable Energy Export Study. They are standard for State contracts and the State does not wish to reduce the coverage limits.</p>
<p>4. Section IV.B. (Page 33). The contractor is expected to arrange or participate in one conference call with the members of the working group. Can you provide a list of the names and companies represented on the working group?</p>	<p>The current names and affiliations of the members of the working group are as follows: Tim Texel, NPRB; Ginger Willson, Nebraska Energy Office; Eric Zeece and David Bartholomai, Nebraska Department of Economic Development; Lanny Nickell, Southwest Power Pool; Josie Daggett, Western Area Power Administration; Jason Fortik, Lincoln Electric System; Tom Kent, Nebraska Public Power District; Mo Doghman, Omaha Public Power District; Brad Hans, Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska; Kristen Gottschalk, Nebraska Rural Electric Association; Rob Wolaver, Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.; Adam Herink, Blue Stem Energy; James Williams, Invenergy; Derek Sunderman and Frank Costanza, Trade Wind Energy; David Levy, Geronimo Energy; Steve Gaw, The Wind Coalition; Eric Johnson, Cherry County Wind Association; Graham Christensen, Burt County Wind Association; Dave Vavra and Darrell Hayek, Saline County Wind Association; Jim Young, Banner County Wind Association; Ken Winston, Nebraska Sierra Club; John Hansen (no official represented entity); Tim Gay, Husch Blackwell, LLP; Laurie Lage, Nebraska Legislature’s Natural Resources Committee; NPRB members Steve Lichter and Dennis Grennan, and Nebraska State Senators Al Davis, Lydia Brasch, Ken Haar, Ken Schilz and Jim Smith. Be advised the members of the working group are subject to change.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>5. Does the NPRB have existing consultant arrangements or other outside parties that would be a resource to the contractor chosen for this project?</p>	<p>The NPRB currently employs an engineer as a consultant to represent the NPRB on the Southwest Power Pool's (SPP) Cost Allocation Working Group and to assist the NPRB's designated member on the SPP's Regional State Committee. Although the NPRB would expect its current contractor to provide the contractor under RFP NPRB-1115 with points of contact in SPP and identify any SPP studies that might be helpful in preparing the Nebraska Renewable Energy Export Study, it is beyond the SPP contractor's scope of work to assist the successful contractor under RFP NPRB-1115 in researching or preparing the report. It is the NPRB's expectation that the members of the working group would also provide information to the contractor and identify pertinent studies. However, the successful bidder for RFP NPRB-1115 should not expect substantial assistance from any outside sources when researching or analyzing the applicable materials to be used in preparing the report under RFP NPRB-1115.</p>
<p>6. Is there any compensation allowed for time (hours) for the Legislative Hearings/Meetings that would occur in 2015 as discussed [and] referred to on Page 34 of the RFP?</p>	<p>No. It is anticipated that the NPRB, the Nebraska Legislature, and the contractor would coordinate the details of any appearance in person or via conference call before a Legislative Committee or other similar Legislative body. Only the out-of-pocket costs associated with the contractor's travel would be reimbursed, not for the time involved. If the travel costs exceed available funds or the contractor would have to incur the loss of excessive work time, it is expected that the appearance would be made via conference call.</p>
<p>7. Is the contractor responsible for any part of coordinating a stakeholder process with any parties in addition to conducting conference calls with the Working Group?</p>	<p>No. The only stakeholder process for which the contractor is responsible is to conduct or participate in one conference call with the working group, and to provide the members of the working group with a copy of the draft report and allow the submission of comments on the report prior to submitting it to the NPRB for acceptance.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>8. We understand that the NPRB Minutes of the 753rd Meeting indicate that they received \$200,000 to pay for this study. Is the additional \$15,000 which is not mentioned in the RFP meant to be available for follow-up work or scope changes relating to the project?</p>	<p>The additional \$15,000 that was appropriated by the Legislature for this study was held in reserve primarily to pay for the NPRB's costs associated with the RFP, such as publishing notice of the RFP, paying the NPRB members' statutorily required travel costs and per diem pay, working group conference call costs, and other related expenses. Any remaining amount could be used to pay for the contractor's travel costs to attend one or two Legislative hearings or meetings, if the Legislature or NPRB wished to make such a request. If there were additional funds available, it is possible the funds could be used to compensate the contractor for scope of work changes relating to the Nebraska Renewable Energy Export Study. Any follow-up work not directly related to the scope of work for RFP NPRB-1115 would need to be dealt with through a new RFP.</p>