

	<p>technical requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IV.E.6 - Addendum #8 Q&A #31 		<p>using an alternate communication method not covered by FCC regulation, at \$x per communication). In this case a per-minute add-on to inmate telephone rates would not be appropriate.</p> <p><i>Q: How would the State prefer pricing for these kinds of services to be presented?</i></p> <p>B. We read the answer to Addendum #8 Q&A #31 to say that the ability to provide this cell phone control service would be evaluated. However, that service may not be implemented, meaning that any additional cost for the service should not be included in the base offer.</p> <p>As a separate issue there are many different “flavors/tiers” of this service – i.e. the service is the same or similar but the scope of deployment would drive different costs. This is true of certain other services in the technical requirements as well.</p> <p>In this case, it is appropriate to price the service as an add-on to telephone rates. However, a bidder could describe a gold-plated service to receive a favorable technical score, but include additional costs for those services under Optional Services that would not be evaluated.</p> <p><i>Q1: If the State has asked bidders to describe their <u>ability</u> to provide a particular feature, how should pricing be presented – for example would it add an evaluated/scored section to the pricing template for the bidder to state a separate</i></p>	<p>Bidder may write-in an alternate unit of measure. Attachment Two SECOND REVISION has also been posted to the RFP web page to accommodate.</p> <p>Costs associated with the requirements of the RFP must be included in the cost per minute for the Debit Calling and Pre-Pay portion of the cost sheet.</p> <p>In the example above (B. Addendum #8 Q&A #31) The</p>
--	---	--	---	---

			<p><i>add-on to inmate telephone rates for that feature?</i></p> <p><i>Q2: If there are different flavors or tiers of a particular feature, how should pricing be presented – for example would the State allow bidders to price them in this same scored section as long as they are clearly described?</i></p>	<p>requirement was amended from requiring a functionality, to describing if a functionality is available. Due to this change, this specific item if available should be priced in the Optional section of the cost sheet if the bidder requires an additional fee for the functionality.</p> <p>No. The solution as described in the bidder’s technical approach would have to be provided at the cost per minute for Debit Calling and Pre-Pay bid on the cost sheet.</p> <p>Bidders may submit alternate proposals for “different flavors or tiers” as wholly separate RFP submissions to be evaluated independently. The different flavor or tier would be described in the technical approach, and the associated cost per minute provided on a separate cost sheet provided with the alternate proposal submission. In this scenario the bidder’s technical score may improve to offset potential lower cost score if the flavor or tier came with a higher cost.</p> <p>Or,</p> <p>Bidders may provide the optional pricing for different flavors or tiers in the optional section of the cost sheet. The description of such would be included only on the cost sheet and must not be included in the technical as the optional items are not scored. These items would only be available to the agency from the awarded contractor and would be awarded or utilized solely at the agency’s discretion.</p> <p>Please also see Addendum Eight, question #1, and RFP Section IV.A. Project Overview.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

A. SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

The State expects to adhere to the tentative procurement schedule shown below. It should be noted, however, that some dates are approximate and subject to change.

	ACTIVITY	DATE/TIME
10.	Proposal opening Location: State Purchasing Bureau 1526 K Street, Suite 130 Lincoln, NE 68508	June 1, 2016 To Be Determined June 14, 2016 June 17, 2016 2:00 PM Central Time
11.	Review for conformance of mandatory requirements	June 1, 2016 To Be Determined June 14, 2016 June 17, 2016
12.	Evaluation period	June 3, 2016 To Be Determined June 15, 2016 June 20, 2016 through June 10, 2016 To Be Determined June 22, 2016 June 27, 2016
13.	“Oral Interviews/Presentations and/or Demonstrations” (if required)	To Be Determined
14.	Post “Letter of Intent to Contract” to Internet at: http://das.nebraska.gov/materiel/purchasing.html	June 20, 2016 To Be Determined July 6, 2016 July 11, 2016

ACTIVITY		DATE/TIME
15.	Contract finalization period	June 20, 2016 To Be Determined July 6, 2016 July 11, 2016 Through July 11, 2016 To Be Determined July 20, 2016 July 22, 2016
16.	Contract award	July 18, 2016 To Be Determined July 27, 2016 July 29, 2016
17.	Contractor start date	September 26, 2016

This addendum will become part of the proposal and should be acknowledged with the Request for Proposal