

ADDENDUM TWO

DATE: October 18, 2013

TO: All Vendors

FROM: Michelle Musick/Pete Kroll, Buyers
State Purchasing Bureau

RE: Questions and Answers for RFP Number 4523Z1
to be opened October 28, 2013 2:00 P.M. Central Time

Following are the questions submitted and answers provided for the above mentioned Request For Proposal. The questions and answers are to be considered as part of the Request For Proposal.

The following is removed from Section IV, C. Project Conditions, 1. Supplies and Services:
Tests must be performed using the predetermined methods, for the presence and quantity of the listed analytes as stated in Tables 1 and 2.

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>1. Reference RFP Section I, Item N, Secretary of State/Tax Commissioner Registration Requirements. Our laboratory is located in South Carolina, so all services for this project would be performed outside of Nebraska. As a result, would we need to register with the State of Nebraska as directed in this section?</p>	<p>Yes, please refer to Section II. N Secretary of State/Tax Commissioner Registration Requirements “All bidders shall be authorized to transact business in the State of Nebraska. All bidders are expected to comply with all Nebraska Secretary of State registration requirements. It is the responsibility of the bidder to comply with any registration requirements pertaining to types of business entities (e.g. person, partnership, foreign or domestic limited liability company, association, or foreign or domestic corporation or other type of business entity). The Bidder who is the recipient of an Intent to Award will be required to certify that it has so complied and produce a true and exact copy of its current (within 90 days), valid Certificate of Good Standing or Letter of Good Standing; or in the case registration is not required, to provide, in writing, the reason as to why none is required. This must be accomplished prior to the award of the contract. Construction contractors are expected to meet all applicable requirements of the Nebraska Contractor Registration Act and provide a current, valid certificate of registration. Further, all bidders shall comply with any and all other applicable Nebraska statutes regarding transacting business in the State of Nebraska. Bidders should submit the above certification(s) with their bid.”</p>
<p>2. Under this RFP, is preference provided to companies that are located in the State of Nebraska?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>3. Please advise if the Dioxin analysis is for TCDD only or the full list.</p>	<p>It is for 2,3,7,8-TCDD only</p>

QUESTIONS			ANSWERS																																				
<p>4. Table 1 (Deliverables), the Estimated Annual Usages column quantities do not match those listed on Page 35, 1. Pricing Summary estimated quantities. Which is correct?</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Test/Analyte</th> <th>Page 35-estimated quantities</th> <th>Page 49, Table 1 (Deliverables)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Asbestos</td> <td>65 tests</td> <td>65</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Dioxin</td> <td>25 tests</td> <td>665</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Endothall</td> <td>25 tests</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Gross Alpha</td> <td>665 tests</td> <td>690</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Radium 226</td> <td>690 tests</td> <td>140</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Radium 228</td> <td>690 tests</td> <td>175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. TOC</td> <td>140 tests</td> <td>690</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. Uranium, Isotopic, Total</td> <td>175 tests</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. Bromate</td> <td>15 tests</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10. Chlorite</td> <td>30 tests</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11. EPA 1664</td> <td>10 tests</td> <td>10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Test/Analyte	Page 35-estimated quantities	Page 49, Table 1 (Deliverables)	1. Asbestos	65 tests	65	2. Dioxin	25 tests	665	3. Endothall	25 tests	30	4. Gross Alpha	665 tests	690	5. Radium 226	690 tests	140	6. Radium 228	690 tests	175	7. TOC	140 tests	690	8. Uranium, Isotopic, Total	175 tests	15	9. Bromate	15 tests	25	10. Chlorite	30 tests	25	11. EPA 1664	10 tests	10	<p>Page 35 is correct.</p> <p>Please see the REVISED Table 1 (Deliverables) - Dated: 10/18/2013.</p>
Test/Analyte	Page 35-estimated quantities	Page 49, Table 1 (Deliverables)																																					
1. Asbestos	65 tests	65																																					
2. Dioxin	25 tests	665																																					
3. Endothall	25 tests	30																																					
4. Gross Alpha	665 tests	690																																					
5. Radium 226	690 tests	140																																					
6. Radium 228	690 tests	175																																					
7. TOC	140 tests	690																																					
8. Uranium, Isotopic, Total	175 tests	15																																					
9. Bromate	15 tests	25																																					
10. Chlorite	30 tests	25																																					
11. EPA 1664	10 tests	10																																					
<p>5. Page 50 – Water Quality Tests for a New Well are: Gross Alpha, Radium-226, Radium-228, Isotopic Uranium, Asbestos, Endothall, Dioxin and TOC on each sample. Estimate 25 New Wells per year. Are these 25 new wells included in the totals listed in Table 1 and page 35?</p>			<p>Yes, the new wells are figured into the amounts on page 35.</p> <p>Please see the REVISED Table 1 (Deliverables) - Dated: 10/18/2013.</p>																																				
<p>6. Why does the laboratory need to report the ratio of U-234/U-238 when the individual isotopes are reported?</p>			<p>Knowing the isotope ratio allows computation of an accurate conversion factor. The 0.67 pCi/ug conversion factor may not be representative of the sample, therefore giving an invalid adjusted gross alpha for compliance requirements.</p>																																				
<p>7. Table 1 notes, “All uranium data must include precision data (e.g. 2 sigma statistic).” Does this mean the counting uncertainty value or the combined standard uncertainty?</p>			<p>This means the combined standard uncertainty</p>																																				

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>8. Table 1, current laboratory reporting level for Gross Alpha is 3.0 pCi/L rather than 1.5 pCi/L as listed in Table 1. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>9. Table 1, for Gross Alpha the laboratory would prefer to utilize EPA Method 900.0 or EPA Method 00-02. EPA Method 00-02 will be used if the sample has too many dissolved solids to meet minimum reporting limit by EPA Method 900.0. Is this allowed?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>10. Table 1, current laboratory reporting level for Radium 226 is 1.0 pCi/L rather than 0.1 pCi/L as listed in Table 1. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>11. Table 1, current laboratory reporting level for Radium 228 is 1.0 pCi/L rather than 0.8 pCi/L as listed in Table 1. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>12. Table 1, current laboratory reporting level for Uranium isotopic is 1.0 pCi/L rather than 0.1 pCi/L as listed in Table 1. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>13. Table 1, the laboratory is currently working towards certification for the determination of isotopic Uranium. Is this problematic?</p>	<p>Yes, bidders who have NELAP certification or certification in another state may apply for certification in Nebraska at Intent to Award. Bidders with no other certification must have an application for certification pending with Nebraska at time of bid opening to be considered.</p> <p>See the response to question # 53.</p>
<p>14. Also, note that reporting levels listed by the laboratory will change for each sample based on factors such as sample size, count time, chemical yield and background. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>15. Is the contractor expected to provide sample bottles and sample request forms?</p>	<p>Contractor must provide all bottles, preservatives, chain-of-custody forms and coolers, if applicable, to DHHS PHE Lab. DHHS PHE Lab will assemble all kits and kits will be mailed from DHHS PHE Lab.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>16. RFP specifies that contractor is expected to analyze matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate with each batch of samples (p. 28, item 4 states “In addition, a QA/QC Summary Report must be attached with results for the applicable Laboratory Control Sample, Method Blank, Sample Matrix Spike and Sample Matrix Spike Duplicate for each workorder.”). Is the contractor to provide sample containers and will orders be batched to accommodate the specified batch QC?</p>	<p>No, Laboratory control samples, method blanks, and sample spikes are internal QC to a bidder’s lab. NPHEL will provide duplicate samples where appropriate to be used as matrix spike duplicates. Since NPHEL does not collect samples themselves, we have no control over when the bidder’s lab will receive samples.</p> <p>See the response to question #15.</p>
<p>17. Table 1 (Deliverables) Mandatory Core Test Analytes, for Asbestos – our current Reporting Level is 0.2 MFL which is slightly greater than the DHHS PHE reporting level of 0.17 MFL. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>18. Table 2 for 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) and 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane (DBCP), is EPA Method 551.1 with a reporting level of 0.02 ug/L rather than DHHS PHE analyzing by EPA 504.1 with a reporting level of 0.01 ug/L allowed?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>19. Table 2, 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) and 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) by method 524.2, reporting level 0.5 ug/L and 504.1, reporting level 0.01 ug/L. Nebraska’s Table 2 lists EDB and DBCP for method 524.2. Are both methods required?</p>	<p>Yes, both methods are required. EPA 504.1 allows for lower detection limits than are required by EPA 524.2</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>20. The laboratory determines PCBs by EPA Method 508, with a reporting limit of 0.5 ug/L for all aroclors. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>21. Table 2, for carbamates by method 531.1, our reporting levels are 1 ug/L rather than the 0.5 ug/L listed by DHHS PHE. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>22. Table 2, our current reporting level for Glyphosate by EPA Method 547 is 10 ug/L rather than 5 ug/L as specified in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>23. The laboratory is not currently accredited for paraquat, although the laboratory is accredited for the determination of diquat by EPA Method 549.2. Paraquat is not a regulated drinking water analyte. Will accreditation for the determination of paraquat be required?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>24. The laboratory uses an in-house developed method, uhl h 019, for the determination of ethylene glycol rather than EPA 8015B. If 8015B QC parameters can be met, is an in-house developed method allowed?</p>	<p>No, Labs must utilize EPA approved methodology.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>25. Table 1, the laboratory determines endothall by method 548.1 with a reporting limit of 20 ug/L rather than 9 ug/L as listed in Table 1. Is this acceptable? Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>26. Also, Endothall has an asterisk in this table, but no footnote associated with it.</p>	<p>The asterisk should not be present.</p> <p>Please see the REVISED Table 1 (Deliverables) - Dated: 10/18/2013.</p>
<p>27. Table 2, the laboratory determines toxaphene by EPA Method 508, reporting limit 0.5 ug/L rather than 1 ug/L listed. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>28. Table 2, acid herbicides, 2,4-D, 2,4,5-TP (Silvex), Dlapon, Dicamba, Dinoseb, Pentachlorophenol and Picloram by EPA Method 515.3. Current laboratory reporting limits are ≤ to those listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>29. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limit for Dichloromethane is 1 ug/L rather than 0.5 ug/L as listed in the table. Reporting limits can be met for all other volatiles listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>30. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limits for Atrazine is 0.1 ug/L rather than 0.08 ug/L as listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>31. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limits for Benzo(a)pyrene is 0.1 ug/L rather than 0.06 as listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>32. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limits for Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is 0.0006 ug/L 0.6 ug/L rather than 2 ug/L as listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>33. Table 2, laboratory currently determines Chlorinated insecticides by EPA Method 508 rather than 525.2. Is this an acceptable alternative method?</p>	<p>EPA 508.1 rev 2.0, EPA 508 rev 3.1, and EPA 508A rev 1.0 are acceptable methods but any analytes not covered by these methods must be addressed by the vendor</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>34. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limit for Heptachlor epoxide and Lindane determined by EPA Method 508 is 0.05 ug/L rather than 0.04 ug/L as listed in Table 2. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>35. Table 2, current laboratory reporting limits for DBAA and TCAA is 1 ug/L rather than 0.5 ug/L as listed in the Table. Are the reporting levels listed in Tables 1 and 2 required?</p>	<p>Table 1 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 1 EXCEPT the bromate method and RL of 1.0 ug/L.</p> <p>Table 2 values are the methods and RLs currently being used. The State will consider EPA-approved alternatives for all tests in Table 2 EXCEPT the EPA 524.2 analytes and corresponding RL of 0.5 ug/L.</p>
<p>36. Table 2, what are the specific analyte lists for Methods 8260B Regular List, 8260B Long List, EPA 624, and EPA 625?</p>	<p>Please see the EPA methodology as for what is covered by EPA 624 and 625. EPA 8260B Long list has the complete listing of analytes in the method while the Regular list has about half of the analytes.</p> <p>For the Regular List, please see SW8260B – Regular List at the bottom of this addendum.</p>
<p>37. Are DIFFERENT coliform methods than the one listed on the proposal (which is not that sensitive and specific as the newer methods and longer TAT) allowed? For total coliform and E.coli the laboratory would prefer SM9223B rather than SM 9222B.</p>	<p>NPHEL did not intend for Colilert or membrane filter to be in Table 2</p> <p>Please see the REVISED Table 2 - Dated: 10/18/2013.</p>
<p>38. For E.coli, the laboratory would prefer EPA 1603 OR SM 9223B. Is this allowed?</p>	<p>NPHEL did not intend for Colilert or membrane filter to be in Table 2.</p> <p>Please see the REVISED Table 2 - Dated: 10/18/2013.</p>
<p>39. What sample volumes can the laboratory anticipate for MPA and Giardia/Cryptosporidium? The laboratory prefers to batch these analyses and discounts for multiple samples/month could apply.</p>	<p>NPHEL only expects to have a few samples for these analytes. Since NPHEL does not collect the samples, we do not control when these samples are taken.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>40. There are additional slide and filter costs for Giardia/Cryptosporium and MPA analyses; how should those costs be incorporated into the form?</p>	<p>NPHEL expects bidders to submit a cost that is all inclusive.</p>
<p>41. Table 1, current laboratory reporting method for TOC is sm 5310b. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>42. Table 1, current laboratory reporting method for Bromate is EPA 300.1, have technology for EPA 317.0. Is this problematic?</p>	<p>Yes it is if the bidder cannot meet the required RL. A RL of 1 ug/L is required.</p>
<p>43. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Bromide is EPA 300.0. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>44. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Calcium, Iron, Magnesium, Potassium, and Sodium is EPA 200.7. Are the methods listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>45. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Chloride is EPA 300.0. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>46. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Mercury is EPA 245.2. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>47. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Nitrogen-Nitrite is EPA 300.0. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>48. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for pH is sm 4500 h +b. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>49. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Silica is sm 4500si d. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>50. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Sulfate is EPA 300.0. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>51. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for Sulfide is usgs i-3840-85. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>52. Table 2, current laboratory reporting method for TSS is usgs i-3765-85. Is the method listed required?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>53. C. Project Conditions, 5. Compliance, page 28 – “The contractor and any subcontractor must apply for, receive and maintain Nebraska Drinking Water certification for the appropriate analyte(s) and method(s).” Should a NELAP certified proposer be missing any of the required parameters from its current NE certification may the proposer apply for that certification upon notice of award?</p>	<p>Bidders who have NELAP certification or certification in another state may apply for certification in Nebraska at Intent to Award. Bidders with no other certification must have an application for certification pending with Nebraska at time of bid opening to be considered.</p>
<p>54. B. Cost Proposal Requirements, 1. Pricing Summary, page 35 vs. Table 1 (Deliverables) – the number of tests listed on page 35 varies significantly from those listed on Table 1 Estimated Annual Usages. Which set of quantities most accurately reflects the actual number of samples expected to be sent to the contractor?</p>	<p>See the response to question #4.</p>
<p>55. B. Cost Proposal Requirements, 1. Pricing Summary, page 35 vs. Table 1 (Deliverables) – do the estimated number of samples represent the quantity of samples that DHHS PHE lab contracts out, or does the lab perform any of these analyses in its own lab? If so, what percentage?</p>	<p>NPHEL does not analyze for any of the tests listed in Table 1.</p>
<p>56. Table 1 (Deliverables) – The method listed for Bromate is 317.0. EPA 300.1 is an EPA approved method for Bromate. Is EPA 300.1 acceptable?</p>	<p>No it is not. EPA 300.1 is unable to detect down to the required RL of 1 ug/L.</p>
<p>57. Table 1 (Deliverables) – The method listed for Chlorite is 300.0. EPA 300.1 is an EPA approved method for Chlorate. Is EPA 300.1 acceptable?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>58. Table 1 (Deliverables) – The method listed for Radium-226 is EPA 903.0 which refers to "Total Alpha Radium." EPA 903.1 refers specifically to Ra-226. Is EPA 903.1 acceptable?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>

QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
<p>59. Table 1 (Deliverables) – The method listed for Radium-228 is RA-05. EPA 904.0 is an EPA approved method for Ra-228. Is EPA 904.0 acceptable?</p>	<p>Any officially approved method for the Drinking Water analyte as listed in the Code of Federal Regulations is acceptable.</p>
<p>60. Table 1 (Deliverables) – may proposers submit a NELAP certified Alpha Spectroscopy SOP for analysis specific to U-234/U-238 for the Isotopic Uranium line item?</p>	<p>No, as it is not EPA approved.</p>
<p>61. Table 1 (Deliverables) – Does the DHHS PHE lab desire to receive contractor lab data in less time than that listed?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>62. What organization(s) is/are the current contract laboratory/laboratories?</p>	<p>Energy Laboratories holds the current contract for lab services</p>
<p>63. What are the current contract(s) unit prices?</p>	<p>The following link will provide the current contract pricing: http://das.nebraska.gov/materiel/purchasing/contracts/pdfs/32501(o4)ren(3)ext(2)awd.pdf</p>

SW8260B - Regular List

Benzene	Dibromomethane
2,2-Dichloropropane	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
Bromobenzene	1,2-Dichlorobenzene
1,1-Dichloropropene	1,1,2-Trichloroethane
Bromochloromethane	1,3-Dichlorobenzene
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Trichloroethene
Bromodichloromethane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	Dichlorodifluoromethane
Bromoform	Trichlorofluoromethane
Ethylbenzene	1,1-Dichloroethane
Bromomethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane
Methyl -t-butyl ether	1,2-Dichloroethane
Carbon Tetrachloride	Vinyl Chloride
Methylene Chloride	1,1-Dichloroethene
Chlorobenzene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
Chloroethane	Benzene
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	Ethylbenzene
Chloroform	1,2-Dichloropropane
Styrene	Toluene
Chloromethane	1,3-Dichloropropane
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	Xylenes:
2-Chlorotoluene	meta-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	Para- ortho-
4-Chlorotoluene	
Tetrachloroethene	
Chlorodibromomethane	
1,2-Dibromoethane	
Toluene	